

## ALL INDIA ASSOCIATION OF COAL EXECUTIVES (AIACE)

(Regd. under The Trade Union Act 1926; Regd. No. 546 / 2016) 302, Block No. - 304, RamKrishna Enclave, Nutan Chowk, Sarkanda, Bilaspur (CG); Email: centralaiace@gmail.com; Ph. 9907434051

## AIACE/CENTRAL/2019/ 53

Dated - 23 /3 /2019

To The Chairman, Coal India Ltd, Kolkata.

Sub: Reply of CMO, NCL advising Director(Personnel), NCL for disallowing "Request for Special approval of

treatment under CPRMSE for Super Senior Citizen ,Sri K. C. Jerath, EIS no. 171199.

Sir,

Our retired member Sri K. C. Jerath, EIS no. 171199, aged above 80 years, residing in BG-1/175, Pashchim Vihar, New Delhi-110063, was compelled to undergo treatment for her wife Mrs Shashi Jerath at Apollo Hospital, New Delhi, which is in proximity to his place of residence. This hospital is empanelled with CIL for treatment of certain diseases and not for all diseases including the disease for which his wife is under treatment there.

We had made an appeal to CMD, NCL vide our letter no AIACE/CENTRAL/2019/41 dated 28/2/2019 to approve the cost of treatment under a special provision of CPRMSE vide clause no. 3.2.1(a)(i) which says,

"Where there is no CIL empanelled Hospital at places where the retired executives reside/unable to go to such empanelled hospitals/Diagnostic Centres, the retired Executives can avail the medical facilities from other PSU hospital/ other PSUs empanelled Hospital, ESI Hospital, Government hospital including hospital under Municipal Corporation or Hospital/Diagnostic Centres empanelled by CGHS subject to CGHS rates for the items covered under CGHS and referred by Company Doctor or other extant guidelines and claim reimbursement of expenses incurred."

But, to our utter dismay, CMO,NCL has chosen to overlook the above mentioned clause in his letter/advise to Director (P), NCL and had preferred to apply clause 3.2.1.(c) which disallows treatment in empanelled hospitals for the diseases not covered in the empanelment list. The Clause no. 3.2.1(a)(i) has been conveniently overlooked without assigning any reason whatsoever.

AlACE feels that, various clauses provided under CPRMSE are contradictory to one another. As for example, if the said hospital is considered as a CIL-empanelled hospital, then there are restrictions on the types of diseases allowed for treatment there. Alternately, if treatment is allowed there on the basis of empanelment with other PSUs, then all sort of diseases are covered and allowed for treatment. The CPRMSE is also violative of Supreme court's judgement vide writ Petition (Civil) No. 694 of 2015 which put a stricture on harassment of senior citizens and said "The right to medical claim cannot be denied merely because the name of the hospital is not included in the Government Order."

As such, AIACE once again appeals to the authorities to re-open and reconsider the above case and allow reimbursement of treatment by judiciously applying Clause3.2.1(a)(i) and, at the same time include necessary amendments in CPRMSE to make it more friendly to the retired executives, who once up on a time were back-bone and torch-bearers of this industry.

Regards,

P K SINGH RATHOR

**Principal General Secretary** 

CC

DP/DF/CMS, CIL Kolkata. CMD/DP/DF, NCL Singrauli.

Copy for kind information-- The Secretary, Ministry of Coal, Govt of India, New Delhi.